BROCKTON HOUSING AUTHORITY Brockton, Massachusetts

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PRCEDURES

AS OF AND FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2023

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures	1
Schedule of Agreed Upon Procedures	2-8

Page



INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

To The Board of Commissioners Brockton Housing Authority Brockton, Massachusetts

We have performed the procedures enumerated in the attached Schedule of Agreed-Upon Procedures on compliance and other matters prescribed by the Massachusetts Department of Housing and Community Development (DHCD) pursuant to Massachusetts General Law Chapter 235 Section 10 as of and for the year ended December 31, 2023. The Brockton Housing Authority is responsible for compliance and other matters prescribed by the Massachusetts Department (DHCD) pursuant to Massachusetts General Law Chapter 235 Section 10 as of and for the year ended December 31, 2023. The Brockton Housing Authority is responsible for compliance and other matters prescribed by the Massachusetts Department of Housing and Community Development (DHCD) pursuant to Massachusetts General Law Chapter 235 Section 10.

The engaging party, the Brockton Housing Authority has agreed to and acknowledged that the procedures performed are appropriate to meet the requirements of DHCD for the year ended December 31, 2023. This report may not be suitable for any other purpose. The procedures performed may not address all the items of interest to a user of this report and may not meet the needs of all users of this report and, as such, users are responsible for determining whether the procedures performed are appropriate for their purposes.

The procedures and associated findings are presented in the Schedule of Agreed-Upon Procedures included with this report.

We were engaged by Brockton Housing Authority to perform this agreed-upon procedures engagement and conducted our engagement in accordance with attestation standards established by the AICPA. We were not engaged to and did not conduct an examination or review engagement, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively, on compliance and other matters prescribed by DHCD, for the year ended December 31, 2023. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

We are required to be independent of the Brockton Housing Authority and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements related to our agreed-upon procedures engagement.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the DHCD and the Brockton Housing Authority and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Rector, Reeder & Lofton, P.C. Certified Public Accountants

Loganville, Georgia July 15, 2024

Housing Authority Name:		BROCKTON HOUSI	NG AUTHORITY		
Fiscal Year End (FYE):			Dec 2023		
Date of AUP Conducted:			7/15/2024 12:00:00 A	M	
E	Executive Director:				
		CPA:	Rector Reeder & Loft	on	
	CPA Ph	none:	7708798411		
	1	HMS:	Kim Gomez		
Total	AUP Except	ions:	4		
	A. G	eneral /	Accounting		
Total # of exceptions: 0				Rating: No Findings	
	Exceptions	Exc	eption Explanation	CPA Recommendations	LHA Response
A. Reconciling financial statements to general ledger.					
1. The amounts reported on the Operating Statement and Balance Sheet (DHCD Forms 51-1 and 51-2, respectively) reconcile to the LHA's general ledger. (Tolerable error of +/- \$100). For all cases that don't match, please detail specifics including at a minimum account and variance amount in column to right.	NE				
B. The following general ledger accounts reconcile to support match, please detail specifics including at a minimum account 1. Cash accounts (#1111 to #1114.1 and #1162) are in agreement with bank statements and reconciliations					
2. Tenant Accounts Receivable and Prepaid Tenant Rent accounts (#1122, #1124 and #2240) are in agreement with agings of Tenants Accounts Receivable (TAR)	NE				
3. Capital Assets and Accumulated Depreciation (all fixed assets except 1400.2) are in agreement with the depreciation schedule/fixed asset listing).	NE				
4. Accounts Payables accounts (#2111, #2111.1, #2120 and #2139) are in agreement with supporting documentation for Accounts Payables and accruals.	NE				
5. Accrued Compensated Absences accounts (#2135 and #2335.01) are in agreement with the compensated absences schedule.	NE				
6. DHCD approved budget exemptions for direct reimbursement as found in the (ANUEL & Subsidy Worksheet - Section 8 in the Operating Statement) are in agreement with LHA record of actual expenses in the General Ledger.	NE				

7. Salaries and Gross Wages (4110, 4410, 4120) (tolerable error of +/- 3.0%) are in agreement with the MA form WR-1 (state filings).	NE			
8. Balance Sheet Accounts (#2140, #2339.1, and #2339.2) are in agreement with OPEB/pension reporting.	NE			
C. EOHLC Public Housing Notice #2018-4, Direct Cost Exemp	tion for Opera	ting Reserve Augmentation	in FY2018 Budget & New Oper	ating Reserve Thresholds.
1. The amounts reported on the Operating Statement and Balance Sheet (DHCD Forms 51-1 and 51-2, respectively) reconcile to the LHA's general ledger. (Tolerable error of +/- \$100). For all cases that don't match, please detail specifics including at a minimum account and variance amount in column to right.	NE			
	B. T	enant Accounting		
Total # of exceptions: 0			Rating: No Findings	
	Exceptions	Exception Explanation	CPA Recommendations	LHA Response
A. Select a random sample of rent transactions (Small - 5, Me and 20% are lease enforcements (if have).	d - 10, Large -	15, Very Large - 20) of rent t	ransactions. Include at least 2	0% are credit adjustments
1. The Authority retained supporting documentation for rent receipts.	NE			
The Authority posted rent receipts to the correct tenant accounts.	NE			
 The Authority retained documentation supporting credit adjustments. 	NE			
4. The Authority followed its rent collection policy for non- payment of rent (i.e., issued a notice to quit, followed eviction protocol.)	NE			
B. Account Write-Offs				
1. Documentation of Board approval to write-off account (board approval of write-off required per budget guidelines for Acct #4570 - Collection Loss).	NE			
C. Vacancies Being Reported in Vacancy System				
1. Verify that the number of vacant units accounted for in the LHA's operating software is the same number of vacancies reported by the LHA in the EOHLC On Line Vacancy System fo the fiscal year	NE			

C. Payroll				
Total # of exceptions: 3 Rating: Corrective Action				
Exceptions	Exception Explanation	CPA Recommendations	LHA Response	
A. Wage Reporting				

A. Wage Reporting

 Actual wages for the Top 5 highest paid employees was consistent with the DHCD-approved budget (Schedule of All Salaries and Positions Report), excluding over-time and longevity payments. (Tolerable error of +/- 3.0% of budgeted salary) Verify the amount reported on the Top 5 Compensation Form matches exactly the amount reported on reconciled to the WR- 1. LHA is in possession of DHCD-approved executive contract signed by the LHA, Executive Director and DHCD. If LHA can show that currently being processed by DHCD and was not returned to the LHA for failing to meet DCHD's requirements, LHA can produce the last DHCD-approved executive contract or at-will agreement signed by the LHA, Executive Director and DHCD. 	NE NE			
B. Payroll Testing for all employees from all funding sources -	- Select a sir	ngle payroll period:		
1. The payroll register accurately accounts for time worked as logged on employee timesheets/time cards.		We noted that the Authority does not maintain timesheets/timecards for employees.	We recommend that the Authority require all employees to keep a weekly timesheet/timecard.	The exception is accurate, but does not accurately reflect the efforts of the BHA to track employee time and attendance. Employees are
C. Compensated Absences Policy		•		• • • •
	E			approved by the supervisor and this record, is kept by HR. It is the Authority's opinion that the current system is more efficient and accurately tracks the times of its employees than that of the system suggested by the state for our operations.
2. Timesheets/time cards are maintained by all employees (including Executive Director) and were approved by supervisor (except Executive Director) including leave taken.	Е	We noted that the Authority does not maintain timesheets/timecards for employees.	We recommend that the Authority require all employees to keep a weekly timesheet/timecard.	The exception is accurate, but does not accurately reflect the efforts of the BHA to track employee time and attendance. Employees are required to request annual leave and sick leave, which is approved by the supervisor and this record, is kept by HR. It is the Authority's opinion that the current system is more efficient and accurately tracks the times of its employees than that of the system suggested by the state for our operations.

3. Annual leave time (i.e., sick, vacation, personal) used is identified on timesheets/time cards and accurately accounted for in a compensated absences register.	Е	We noted that the Authority does not maintain timesheets/timecards for employees.	We recommend that the Authority require all employees to keep a weekly timesheet/timecard.	The exception is accurate, but does not accurately reflect the efforts of the BHA to track employee time and attendance. Employees are required to request annual leave and sick leave, which is approved by the supervisor and this record, is kept by HR. It is the Authority's opinion that the current system is more efficient and accurately tracks the times of its employees than that of the system suggested by the state for our operations.
1. Personnel Policy includes (1) the limits on the amount of vacation and sick leave that will be accrued each year, and when and how such leave will be accrued; (2) a limit on the amount of accrued vacation that may be carried over from year to year, and; (3) a cap on the payout for accrued and unused sick leave at the end of employment per PHN 2017-14.	NE			
2. The Authority is accounting for annual leave time earned in accordance with the Authority's personnel policy.	NE			
	D	Accounts Payable		
Total # of exceptions: 0			Rating: No Findings	
-				
	Exceptions	Exception Explanation	CPA Recommendations	LHA Response
A. Select a random sample of (Small - 15, Med - 20, Large - 25 large or unusual items identified in a review of the cash disbu employee expense reimbursement transaction, at least one ca For all discrepancies, to the right detail the type of payable, th	Very Large rsements jo apital expens	- 25) cash disbursement trans urnal. The auditor should sub se, at least one operating expo	CPA Recommendations sactions. The auditor may sub stitute for at least one credit of	stitute random selections for ard statement, at least one
large or unusual items identified in a review of the cash disbu employee expense reimbursement transaction, at least one ca	Very Large rsements jo apital expens	- 25) cash disbursement trans urnal. The auditor should sub se, at least one operating expo	CPA Recommendations sactions. The auditor may sub stitute for at least one credit of	stitute random selections for ard statement, at least one
large or unusual items identified in a review of the cash disbu employee expense reimbursement transaction, at least one ca For all discrepancies, to the right detail the type of payable, the 1. Cash disbursements were authorized in accordance with the	Very Large rsements jo apital expension of date, the c	- 25) cash disbursement trans urnal. The auditor should sub se, at least one operating expo	CPA Recommendations sactions. The auditor may sub stitute for at least one credit of	stitute random selections for ard statement, at least one
large or unusual items identified in a review of the cash disbut employee expense reimbursement transaction, at least one ca For all discrepancies, to the right detail the type of payable, the 1. Cash disbursements were authorized in accordance with the Authority's policies.2. Cash disbursements are in agreement with supporting	Very Large rsements jo apital expens the date, the o NE	- 25) cash disbursement trans urnal. The auditor should sub se, at least one operating expo	CPA Recommendations sactions. The auditor may sub stitute for at least one credit of	stitute random selections for ard statement, at least one
large or unusual items identified in a review of the cash disbut employee expense reimbursement transaction, at least one ca For all discrepancies, to the right detail the type of payable, the 1. Cash disbursements were authorized in accordance with the Authority's policies.2. Cash disbursements are in agreement with supporting documentation.	Very Large rsements jo apital expension de date, the o NE NE	- 25) cash disbursement trans urnal. The auditor should sub se, at least one operating expo	CPA Recommendations sactions. The auditor may sub stitute for at least one credit of	stitute random selections for ard statement, at least one
 large or unusual items identified in a review of the cash disburgemployee expense reimbursement transaction, at least one car For all discrepancies, to the right detail the type of payable, the Authority's policies. 2. Cash disbursements are in agreement with supporting documentation. 3. Supporting documentation is sufficiently detailed. 4. Costs are allowable (i.e. sales tax, alcohol, lottery tickets) 5. Costs are properly allocated to the correct program(s). Cost of current year additions are allocated to programs in a manner consistent with the use of the asset. 	Very Large rsements jo apital expension date, the o NE NE NE	- 25) cash disbursement trans urnal. The auditor should sub se, at least one operating expo	CPA Recommendations sactions. The auditor may sub stitute for at least one credit of	stitute random selections for ard statement, at least one
 large or unusual items identified in a review of the cash disburemployee expense reimbursement transaction, at least one care for all discrepancies, to the right detail the type of payable, the 1. Cash disbursements were authorized in accordance with the Authority's policies. 2. Cash disbursements are in agreement with supporting documentation. 3. Supporting documentation is sufficiently detailed. 4. Costs are allowable (i.e. sales tax, alcohol, lottery tickets) 5. Costs are properly allocated to the correct program(s). Cost of current year additions are allocated to programs in a manner 	Very Large rsements jo apital expense date, the o NE NE NE NE	- 25) cash disbursement trans urnal. The auditor should sub se, at least one operating expo charge, and the amount.	CPA Recommendations sactions. The auditor may sub stitute for at least one credit of	stitute random selections for ard statement, at least one
 large or unusual items identified in a review of the cash disburemployee expense reimbursement transaction, at least one care for all discrepancies, to the right detail the type of payable, the second se	Very Large rsements jo apital expense date, the o NE NE NE NE NE	- 25) cash disbursement trans urnal. The auditor should sub se, at least one operating expo	CPA Recommendations sactions. The auditor may sub stitute for at least one credit c ense and at least one debit ca	stitute random selections for ard statement, at least one
 large or unusual items identified in a review of the cash disburgemployee expense reimbursement transaction, at least one car For all discrepancies, to the right detail the type of payable, the Authority's policies. 2. Cash disbursements are in agreement with supporting documentation. 3. Supporting documentation is sufficiently detailed. 4. Costs are allowable (i.e. sales tax, alcohol, lottery tickets) 5. Costs are properly allocated to the correct program(s). Cost of current year additions are allocated to programs in a manner consistent with the use of the asset. 	Very Large rsements jo apital expense date, the o NE NE NE NE NE	- 25) cash disbursement trans urnal. The auditor should sub se, at least one operating expo charge, and the amount.	CPA Recommendations sactions. The auditor may sub stitute for at least one credit of	stitute random selections for ard statement, at least one

A. Capital and Non-Capital Asset Inventory						
1. The Authority performed a physical count of its capital asset and non-capital asset inventory at least annually (non-capital assets are refrigerators and stoves and other furniture equipment over the Authority's non-capital inventory threshold, which may not exceed \$1,000).	NE					
2. Capital and Non-Capital Asset inventory includes all necessary information to identify the asset. For non-capital assets that includes a tag with an LHA-assigned number for all assets of \$1,000 or more (and all refrigerators and stoves of any value). For relevant assets of \$5,000 or more that includes the make/model/year for vehicles and the FISH number.	NE					
3. The Authority identified additions and disposals of capital and non-capital assets for the accounting period.	NE					
4. Select a random sample of non-capital assets by tag number (Small - 3, Med - 6, Large - 9, Very Large - 12) and verify existence.	NE					
		F. Proc	urement			
Total # of exceptions: 0				Rat	ing: No Findings	
	Eventions	_	a su ti su Escular sti su		commendations	LHA Response
For A to C below, examine the cash disbursements journal (o during the year that should have been competitively procured Med - 5, Large - 7, Very Large - 9) of known or possible procu	d. From thes rements valu	ter) as e purch uing \$10	ases that should hav 0,000 or more; if poss	register and id ve been comp sible when selo	lentify purchases etitively procured ecting the sample	of goods and services , select a sample (Small - 3, , include at least one
during the year that should have been competitively procured Med - 5, Large - 7, Very Large - 9) of known or possible procu procurement valuing \$10,000 to \$50,000 and one procuremen not competitively procured, enter as an exception in A. For s depending on the size of the procurement.	r check regis d. From thes rements valu	ster) as be purch uing \$10 bre than	well as the contract hases that should hav 0,000 or more; if poss \$50,000 (for goods a	register and id ve been comp sible when selo nd services fo	lentify purchases etitively procured ecting the sample or MGL c. 30B only	of goods and services , select a sample (Small - 3, , include at least one y). If any in the sample were
during the year that should have been competitively procured Med - 5, Large - 7, Very Large - 9) of known or possible procu procurement valuing \$10,000 to \$50,000 and one procuremen not competitively procured, enter as an exception in A. For s depending on the size of the procurement. A. Procurement Policy	r check regis d. From thes rements valu	ster) as be purch uing \$10 bre than	well as the contract hases that should hav 0,000 or more; if poss \$50,000 (for goods a	register and id ve been comp sible when selo nd services fo	lentify purchases etitively procured ecting the sample or MGL c. 30B only	of goods and services , select a sample (Small - 3, , include at least one y). If any in the sample were
during the year that should have been competitively procured Med - 5, Large - 7, Very Large - 9) of known or possible procu procurement valuing \$10,000 to \$50,000 and one procuremen not competitively procured, enter as an exception in A. For s depending on the size of the procurement.	r check regis d. From thes rements valu	ster) as be purch uing \$10 bre than	well as the contract hases that should hav 0,000 or more; if poss \$50,000 (for goods a	register and id ve been comp sible when selo nd services fo	lentify purchases etitively procured ecting the sample or MGL c. 30B only	of goods and services , select a sample (Small - 3, , include at least one y). If any in the sample were
during the year that should have been competitively procured Med - 5, Large - 7, Very Large - 9) of known or possible procu procurement valuing \$10,000 to \$50,000 and one procuremen not competitively procured, enter as an exception in A. For s depending on the size of the procurement. A. Procurement Policy 1. The Authority's procurement policy is consistent with the requirements of MGL c. 30b (or more conservative federal	r check regis d. From thes rements valu at valuing mo sampled purc	ster) as be purch uing \$10 bre than	well as the contract hases that should hav 0,000 or more; if poss \$50,000 (for goods a	register and id ve been comp sible when selo nd services fo	lentify purchases etitively procured ecting the sample or MGL c. 30B only	of goods and services , select a sample (Small - 3, , include at least one y). If any in the sample were
 during the year that should have been competitively procured Med - 5, Large - 7, Very Large - 9) of known or possible procu- procurement valuing \$10,000 to \$50,000 and one procurement not competitively procured, enter as an exception in A. For sidepending on the size of the procurement. A. Procurement Policy The Authority's procurement policy is consistent with the requirements of MGL c. 30b (or more conservative federal regulations). The Authority maintains a contract register which includes the following information: contractor, description, active/inactive, start date, end date, extensions available, contract award amount, change orders amount, contract expenditures to date and remaining value. B. Known and possible procurements valuing (\$10,000 up to a LHA can follow more conservative federal regulations when a 	r check regis d. From thes rements valu at valuing mo ampled purc NE NE	g \$50,00	well as the contract in ases that should have 0,000 or more; if poss \$50,000 (for goods a hat went through pro-	register and id ve been composible when sele ind services fo ocurement, fol	lentify purchases etitively procured ecting the sample or MGL c. 30B only llow procedures u	of goods and services , select a sample (Small - 3, , include at least one y). If any in the sample were nder B or C below
 during the year that should have been competitively procured Med - 5, Large - 7, Very Large - 9) of known or possible procu- procurement valuing \$10,000 to \$50,000 and one procurement not competitively procured, enter as an exception in A. For s depending on the size of the procurement. A. Procurement Policy The Authority's procurement policy is consistent with the requirements of MGL c. 30b (or more conservative federal regulations). The Authority maintains a contract register which includes the following information: contractor, description, active/inactive, start date, end date, extensions available, contract award amount, change orders amount, contract expenditures to date and remaining value. B. Known and possible procurements valuing (\$10,000 up to a 	r check regis d. From thes rements valu at valuing mo ampled purc NE NE	g \$50,00	well as the contract in ases that should have 0,000 or more; if poss \$50,000 (for goods a hat went through pro-	register and id ve been composible when sele ind services fo ocurement, fol	lentify purchases etitively procured ecting the sample or MGL c. 30B only llow procedures u	of goods and services , select a sample (Small - 3, , include at least one y). If any in the sample were nder B or C below
 during the year that should have been competitively procured Med - 5, Large - 7, Very Large - 9) of known or possible procu- procurement valuing \$10,000 to \$50,000 and one procurement not competitively procured, enter as an exception in A. For sidepending on the size of the procurement. A. Procurement Policy The Authority's procurement policy is consistent with the requirements of MGL c. 30b (or more conservative federal regulations). The Authority maintains a contract register which includes the following information: contractor, description, active/inactive, start date, end date, extensions available, contract award amount, change orders amount, contract expenditures to date and remaining value. B. Known and possible procurements valuing (\$10,000 up to a LHA can follow more conservative federal regulations when a 1. Proper procurement method used. Proper selection based on MGL c.30B s.5 solicitation of quotes requirements. 	r check regis d. From thes rements valu at valuing mo ampled purc NE NE	g \$50,00	well as the contract in ases that should have 0,000 or more; if poss \$50,000 (for goods a hat went through pro-	register and id ve been composible when sele ind services fo ocurement, fol	lentify purchases etitively procured ecting the sample or MGL c. 30B only llow procedures u	of goods and services , select a sample (Small - 3, , include at least one y). If any in the sample were nder B or C below
 during the year that should have been competitively procured Med - 5, Large - 7, Very Large - 9) of known or possible procu- procurement valuing \$10,000 to \$50,000 and one procurement not competitively procured, enter as an exception in A. For s- depending on the size of the procurement. A. Procurement Policy The Authority's procurement policy is consistent with the requirements of MGL c. 30b (or more conservative federal regulations). The Authority maintains a contract register which includes the following information: contractor, description, active/inactive, start date, end date, extensions available, contract award amount, change orders amount, contract expenditures to date and remaining value. B. Known and possible procurements valuing (\$10,000 up to a LHA can follow more conservative federal regulations when a 1. Proper procurement method used. Proper selection based on MGL c.30B s.5 solicitation of 	r check regis d. From thes rements valuing mo- ampled purce NE NE and includin pplicable. [- NE NE	g \$50,00	well as the contract in ases that should have 0,000 or more; if poss \$50,000 (for goods a hat went through pro-	register and id ve been composible when sele ind services fo ocurement, fol	lentify purchases etitively procured ecting the sample or MGL c. 30B only llow procedures u	of goods and services , select a sample (Small - 3, , include at least one y). If any in the sample were nder B or C below

5. Board vote is documented approving individual contract, or a board vote to delegate authority over certain contracts (by dollar threshold or other criteria) to an LHA staff member, usually Executive Director.	NE			
6. Contract did not go through automatic renewals unless renewals were part of the original procurement.	NE			
7. The contracts are included on the Authority's contract register.	NE			
C. Known and possible procurements valuing (more than \$50, LHA can follow more conservative federal regulations when a				wns to N/A in this section]
1. Proper procurement method used.	NE			
2. Proper selection based on MGL c.30B s.5 IFB requirements or MGL c.30B s.6 RFP requirements. If using MGL C.30B s.6 RFP requirements, LHA must have a Chief Procurement Officer (CPO) conduct the procurement under c.30B s.6.	NE			
3. Documentation of Newspaper advertisement, LHA's Office and COMMBUYS two weeks prior to bidding process. If contract was for over \$100K, it was advertised in the Goods & Services Bulletin.	NE			
4. If IFB, contract award went to lowest bidder. If RFP, contract went to lowest bidder or letter explaining why went with another bidder.	NE			
5. Board vote is documented approving individual contract, or a board vote to delegate authority over certain contracts (by dollar threshold or other criteria) to an LHA staff member, usually Executive Director.	NE			
6. Contract did not go through automatic renewals unless renewals were part of the original procurement.	NE			
7. The contracts are included on the Authority's contract register.	NE			
	G. Eli	gibility Compliance		
Total # of exceptions: 1			Rating: Operational Guida	nce
	Exceptions	Exception Explanation	CPA Recommendations	LHA Response
A. Public Housing - Select a sample (Small LHA - 5, Medium L multiple property managers, at least one file should be select			tenant files (from programs 200	D, 667, 705); if the LHA has
1. The Authority performed timely annual rent determinations (or bi-annual if the Authority has a waiver from EOHLC to do so).	NE			
2. The Authority properly calculated rent.	NE			
3. The Authority verified family composition.	NE			

4. The Authority verified income, exclusions from income and deductions.	E	We noted one instance in which the Authority failed to properly gather third-party vertification. Evidence of Authority efforts to gather the support was maintained within the file.	We recommend that the Authority ensure all required documentation has been gathered prior to processing a certification.	The Authority has instituted a Quality Control file review process to avoid future omissions.
5. The Authority properly sent notifications of rent redetermination at least 60 days prior to the effective date.	NE			
B6MRV#AuSonetorlargamløle=of antificationst detentrihanionst(sam depsäldvidsyselnivar in thesestectives]tate.	ple 10% (mi	n:1 max:15) of leased MRVP u	nits). [- If N/A selected for a	y one below, then default all
7. The Authority was timely in the execution of lease addendums.	NE			
1. The Authority performed timely annual rent determinations.	NE			
2. The Authority properly calculated rent.	NE			
3. The Authority verified family composition.	NE			
4. The Authority verified income, exclusions from income and deductions.	NE			
5. The Authority obtained Certificates of Fitness (COF).	NE			
6. The Authority obtained Letters of Compliance for Lead Paint if child <6 years old and building built prior to 1978 with no new construction permit.	NE			
7. The Authority obtained Proofs of Ownership	NE			
8. The Authority obtained W9s for landlords.	NE			